

Minutes

South Gloucestershire Cycle Forum

Location: Virtual meeting

Time & Date: 12pm on Thursday 8th September 2022

Item	Description	Who
1	Welcome, introductions, and updates from previous meeting	Lee Lodder
2	<p>Brabazon Arena cycle improvements - A38</p> <p>Further to the update presented at the previous cycle forum meeting Mark Seabrook, Senior Engineer talked through some issues with pinch points on the A38 improvements planned as part of the Arena project.</p> <p>MS: existing cycle lanes on the A38 could be retained, but limits width of the segregated walking and cycling path. Seeking views from those present over whether the priority is wider segregated sections or retaining the on road option.</p> <p>There was a mix of views, with some keen to see maximum space for off road, providing connectivity is good at junctions, and others would rather see on road cycle lanes retained as this would be used by commuter cyclists.</p> <p>It was explained that the narrow width close to junctions was due to the carriageway width needed for airbus wing movements.</p> <p>Other points raised included:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">- keen to see the segregated lane coloured to make it clearly defined.- more concerned at the angle of crossing points, if approaching on green, you would need to slow significantly. They should be more on	Mark Seabrook

	<p>the desire line.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - noted that fence line at the back of the carriageway could be moved if Airbus consented as they own all the land. - suggestion that the junction should be re designed to make it more direct for cyclists. - the buffer should be wider than 0.5m as speeds are high in this area, and that 1 way cycle lanes on each side would be preferred. 	
3	<p>Update on Strategic Projects</p> <p>See attached PDF</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - it was confirmed that the CPME has review to identify any improvements to meet LTN 1/20 standards has been undertaken but didn't identify anything significant. - it was asked whether the Yate mini holland scheme could be included in the Active Travel Fund 4. LL responded, no, partly due to timescales, but also the size of the funding ask for the mini Holland scheme is likely to be larger than funding available through ATF4 	Lee Lodder
4	<p>Open Forum</p> <p>AM: further to previous comment on A4018 a new bus stop has been installed on the route, which further constrains the path. LL to feed back to development control team.</p> <p>SC: cycle strategy is out of date, can it be updated? LL: responded that WECA are currently developing a West of England Cycling and walking investment plan. SGC are waiting on progress with that before deciding whether to update or replace the cycle strategy.</p> <p>TS: Any new cycle strategy should aim for preferred not minimum dimensions.</p> <p>SC Also mentioned the European Cyclists Federation document on benefits of cycling: https://ecf.com/sites/ecf.com/files/TheBenefitsOfCycling2018.pdf</p> <p>TS Highlighted the EuroRap star rating assessment of schemes before delivery, as something that may be useful to the council, particularly on Yate Mini Holland bid. LL to feedback to colleagues</p> <p>RB asked when planned work on Goose green way will happen? LL to check with maintenance team</p>	All
5	<p>Dates and venues for future meetings:</p> <p>Wednesday 23rd November 2022 Tuesday 21st February 2023</p>	